

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 104 – 130 are pending.

Claims 104 – 110 stand rejected.

Claims 111 – 130 stand withdrawn from consideration.

Claim 104 has been amended herein, without disclaimer or prejudice.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Reissue Application

It is respectfully noted that the present application is a divisional application of Application Serial No. 10/087,003, filed February 28, 2002, which is a reissue application of U.S. Patent 6,032,156, ('156 patent) issued on February 29, 2000. It is noted herein that the Examiner refers to Patent 6,643,641 in the Office Action of January 30, 2009 ("the Office Action" hereafter), Item 1. Applicant assumes such to be a typographical error. Confirmation of the same is respectfully requested.

Claim Amendments

On or about January 28, 2008, the undersigned had a telephone conversation with Examiner Alam, wherein it was mutually agreed that the Examiner Alam would issue an Examiner's amendment amending Claim 104.

During a subsequent telephone conversation with the undersigned on or about February 12, 2008, Examiner Alam indicated that an Examiner's Amendment had been prepared and would be made of record. However, no Examiner's Amendment appears to have been made of record. Applicant, therefore, has herewith amended Claim 104, per the agreement reached in the aforementioned telephone conversations between the undersigned and Examiner Alam on and around January 28, 2008 and February 12, 2008. Claim 104 has been amended to additionally recite "a processor and a memory." Support may be found in the '156 patent as follows:

Referring to FIG.1, there is depicted a system 10 according to the invention. System 10 includes a computer 20 including a processor 30, input devices 40, output and display devices 50 and memory devices 60. (see Col. 2, lines 51 – 54) (emphasis added).

Claim 104 has been further amended to clarify that "each of said set of style data, said interface layer, said program layer, said template layer, said module layer and said clip layer comprise data stored in one or more memory devices." Support may be found in the '156 patent, for example, in FIG. 1 (showing a computer 20 associated with a database 100), FIG. 3 (showing database 100 storing stylistic approach 300 ("A stylistic approach 300 can be defined through the use of default values which will be employed, for example, in the treatment of transitions between adjacent clips." See Col. 3, lines 36 – 39), an interface layer 105, a program layer 310, a template layer 315, a module layer 320 and a clip layer 325).

Claim 104 has also been amended to clarify that "said template is used with said stylistic approach to select a set of selected media elements wherein said selected media elements are automatically assembled by the processor into media programming." See, for example, Col. 8, line 1 – Col. 9, line 21 which generally describes an editor program which uses templates along with a stylistic approach to select a set of selected media elements as set forth below:

Alternatively, an expert system included within the editor program selects and orders one or more suitable templates as shown in block 505 of FIG. 5. The template may incorporate the demographic characteristics and information to be conveyed, or may contain only sequential requirements for the underlying structures used in the program. Using the requirements in the selected templates and the demographic characteristics and other requirements specific to the program, as well as the inherent structural characteristics of the modules and sequences, the expert system or truth table then selects and orders one or more suitable modules and floater sequences, as shown by block 510 of FIG. 5. Using the requirements in the selected modules and the inherent structural characteristics of sequences, the expert system or truth table selects and orders suitable sequences, as shown by block 515 of FIG. 5. Finally, using the requirements in the selected sequences and the inherent structural characteristics of the clips, the expert system or truth table selects and orders suitable clips, as shown by block 520 of FIG. 5. The expert system or truth table also makes transition selections at the beginning and end of the audio and video portions of each clip, as shown by block 525 of FIG. 5.

See also Col. 9, lines 22 – 32, as set forth below:

Once the set of clips has been defined, the assembly of the clips from the library takes place. This is

accomplished by an assembly program. Referring to FIG. 6, the assembly program reads a file of clip identifiers and transition information created by the editor program as shown by block 605, and calls to the library for each identified clip as shown by block 610. The identified clips are then transmitted from the library to a suitable destination. The assembly program uses the transition information in assembling the clips into the program.

Thus, no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Objections to the Application – 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) - Office Action, Item 2

The present application stands “objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent.” See the Office Action, Item 2. In particular, the Examiner has required a new written consent and a statement pursuant 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b). See *id.* In response, Applicant respectfully submits a new written consent pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) by the assignee, NTECH Properties, Inc., the sole assignee of U.S. Patent 6,032,156 and the present application. Applicant also provides herewith a Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b), signed by Mr. Dwight Marcus, CEO of the assignee, NTECH Properties, Inc.. Accordingly, reconsideration and removal of this objection under 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) of the present application is respectfully requested.

Objections to the Application – 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) - Office Action, Item 3

The present application also stands objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.172(a) “as the assignee has not established its ownership interest in the patent for which

reissue is being requested.” See the Office Action, Item 3. In particular, the Examiner has required an appropriate paper satisfying the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 3.73 and signed by a party authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. In response, Applicant respectfully, submits that the attached Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b), signed by Mr. Dwight Marcus, CEO of the assignee, NTECH Properties, Inc. properly establishes the ownership interest of the assignee in the present application and satisfies the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 3.73. The attached Statement further specifies in the record of this reissue application that the assignment was recorded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office at Reel 020679, Frame 0981. Accordingly, reconsideration and removal of this objection under 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) of the present application is respectfully requested.

Objections to the Application – 37 C.F.R. § 1.172(a) - Office Action, Item 4

The Examiner contends that the reissue oath/declaration filed with the present application is defective because of a change in assignment and requires a new written consent and statement under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b). Applicant respectfully submits that the new written consent and Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) submitted herewith satisfy the Examiner's requirements set forth in Item 4 of the Office Action.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 251 – Office Action, Items 5 - 7

Claims 104 – 110 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 251 “as being improperly broadened in a reissue application made and sworn to by the assignee and not the patentee.” See the Office Action, Item 5. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection for at least the following reasons. The parent reissue application Serial No. 10/087,003 (“the ‘003 Reissue Application,” hereafter), filed on February 28, 2002, was made and sworn to by *the patentee* Mr. Dwight Marcus within two years of the issue of U.S. Patent 6,032,156. See Exhibit A (Reissue Application Declaration by the Inventor, Mr. Dwight Marcus and executed on February 27, 2002) and Exhibit B (Reissue Application: Consent of Assignee; Statement of Non-Assignment, also executed by the patentee Mr. Dwight Marcus on February 27, 2002, and stating on the record that that ownership of the patent was in the inventor and no assignment of the patent was then in effect.). Furthermore, the Reissue Declaration of Exhibit A clearly indicated, in the ‘003 Reissue Application, the *patentee*’s intent to broaden stating “This is a broadening reissue application.”

Likewise, the divisional reissue application Serial No. 10/616,602 (“the Present Application,” hereafter), divisional application of the ‘003 Reissue Application, and filed July 10, 2003, was made and sworn to by the *patentee*, Mr. Dwight Marcus. See Exhibit C (Reissue Application Declaration by the Inventor, Mr. Dwight Marcus and executed on July 03, 2003) and Exhibit D (Reissue Application: Consent of Assignee; Statement of Non-Assignment, also executed

by the patentee Mr. Dwight Marcus on February 27, 2002, and stating on the record that that ownership of the patent was in the inventor and no assignment of the patent was then in effect.). Furthermore, the Reissue Declaration of Exhibit C reiterated, in the Present Application, the *patentee's* intent to broaden stating "This is a broadening reissue application." For at least these reasons, Claims 104 – 110 are being properly broadened in a reissue application made and sworn to by the patentee. Accordingly, removal and reconsideration of this 35 U.S.C. § 251 rejection of Claims 104 – 110 is respectfully requested.

Although the above is sufficient for withdrawal of the present 35 U.S.C. § 251 rejection of Claims 104 – 110, the Applicant further submits that US Patent 6,032,156, the '003 Reissue Application and the Present Application were assigned by the inventor Mr. Dwight Marcus to the assignee, NTECH Properties, Inc. on March 14, 2008. Pursuant to this assignment, the assignee, NTECH properties, Inc., herewith provides the written consent of the assignee for the Present Application, vide attached Consent of Assignee and establishes ownership of the Present Application vide attached Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) signed by Mr. Dwight Marcus, CEO of the assignee, NTECH Properties, Inc.. Applicant, therefore, respectfully submits that all the statutory requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 251 have been fully satisfied herein.

Oath/Declaration – Office Action, Item 8

The Examiner contends that the “reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error, which is relied upon to support the reissue application.” See the Office Action, Item 8. The Examiner further states that the “reissue oath/declaration, dated July 10, 2003, was found to be defective for failing to identify a specific error according to 37 C.F.R. 1.1775(a)(1),” and that “Applicant submitted a supplemental oath/declaration, dated July 27, 2006, which points out that the ‘material of the invention defined by Figure 3 and set forth in claims 104 – 110 was not previously covered adequately.” See *id.* The Examiner then concludes that “[s]ince the changes to claim 104 are more than mere spelling, grammar, typographical, editorial, or clerical errors, a supplemental oath/declaration is needed for the changes.” Applicant respectfully disagrees and traverses this requirement.

Attention is kindly drawn to MPEP 1414.01 which states that “a supplemental oath/declaration is required when any “error” under 35 U.S.C. § 251 has been corrected and the error was not identified in the original reissue oath/declaration.” Applicant has previously submitted a supplemental declaration on July 27, 2006, as acknowledged by the Examiner, which identifies a specific error upon which this broadening reissue application is based, *i.e.*, the material of the invention defined by Figure 3 and set forth in claims 104 – 110 was not previously covered adequately. See Exhibit E (Supplemental Declaration and

Power of Attorney for Divisional Reissue Application, Page 2, lines 16 – 19 (“This is a broadening reissue application. The error upon which this broadening reissue application is based is that the claims are more narrow than patentee had the right to claim, in that, for example, the material of the invention defined by Figure 3 and set forth in claims 104 – 110 was not previously covered adequately.”). MPEP 1414.01 states that a supplemental declaration must be filed “if additional defects or errors are corrected in the reissue after the filing of the application and the original reissue oath or declaration,” (emphasis added) which supplemental declaration has already been filed on July 27, 2006. The only amendment to Claim 104 of record subsequent to the supplemental oath/declaration executed on July 25, 2006 and filed on July 27, 2006 is the present amendment. The error being corrected by Claim 104 as amended herewith is still the same as specified in the Supplemental Declaration filed July 27, 2006, *i.e.*, not covering adequately the material of the invention defined by Fig. 3 and set forth in Claims 104 – 110. No other additional error has been corrected subsequent to the filing of the supplemental declaration of July 27, 2006. However, in the interest of expedited prosecution, Applicant further submits a supplemental declaration stating that “every such error arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant,” pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(b)(1). Accordingly, the alleged defectiveness of the reissue oath/declaration is deemed to be overcome.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 251 – Office Action, Item 9

Claims 104 – 110 stand “rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. § 251.” See the Office Action, Item 9. Applicant respectfully submits that the supplemental declaration provided herewith satisfies all the statutory requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 251. Accordingly, reconsideration and removal of this 35 U.S.C. § 251 rejection of Claims 104 – 110 is respectfully requested.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

CONCLUSION

Having addressed all outstanding grounds raised by the Examiner, Applicant respectfully submits the present case is in condition for allowance, early notification of which is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any questions or outstanding matters, the Examiner is cordially invited and requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at his number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Rosenthal
Reg. No. 33,450
Howard IP Law Group, P.C.
P.O. Box 226
Fort Washington, PA 19034
(215) 542-5824
(215) 542-5825 (fax)

Dated: June 26, 2009

REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTOR(S)

As below named inventor(s), I/we hereby declare that:

My/Our residence, post office address and citizenship is/are as stated below next to my/our name(s).

I/We believe I/we are the original, first inventor(s) of the subject matter which is described and claimed in Patent Number 6,032,156 granted on February 29, 2000, and for which a reissue patent is sought on the invention entitled:

SYSTEM FOR AUTOMATED GENERATION OF MEDIA

the specification of which (check one)

- ☒ is attached hereto.
☐ was filed by an authorized person on my/our behalf on _____
 as Application No. _____
 and was amended on _____
 (if applicable)

I/We hereby state that I/we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I/We acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

I/We verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below:

- ☐ by reason of a defective specification or drawing.
☒ by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than they had the right to claim in the patent.
☐ by reason of other errors.

At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening:

This is a broadening reissue application. The error upon which this broadening reissue application is based is that the claims are more narrow than patentee had the right to claim.

All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant(s). As named inventor(s) I/we hereby appoint Coudert Brothers LLP, a firm including J.D. Harriman II, Reg. No. 31,967 with full power of substitution and revocation, with the power to appoint associate attorneys and to prosecute this application, to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and request that all correspondence and telephone calls in respect to this application be directed to COUDERT BROTHERS LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Suite 2300, Los Angeles, California 90071, Telephone No. (213) 229-2900, Customer No. 23600.

I/We hereby declare that all statements made herein of my/our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, and patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed.

Full name of inventor:

DWIGHT MARCUS

Inventor's signature:


2.27.12

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address:

183 Avenida de la Entrada
Ojai, California 93023
United States of America

Citizenship:


United States

Address for Correspondence:

COUDERT BROTHERS LLP
333 South Hope St., Suite 2300
Los Angeles, California 90071
(213) 229-2900

10087003-022802

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REISSUE APPLICATION: CONSENT OF ASSIGNEE; STATEMENT OF NON-ASSIGNMENT		Docket Number (Optional) 0008026-0008
This is part of the application for a reissue patent based on the original patent identified below.		
Name of Patentee(s) <u>Dwight Marcus</u>		
Patent Number	<u>6,032,156</u>	Date Patent Issued <u>February 29, 2000</u>
Title of Invention <u>System for Automated Generation of Media</u>		
<p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> Filed herein is a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b). (Form PTO/SB/99)</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ownership of the patent is in the inventor(s), and no assignment of the patent is in effect.</p> <p>One of boxes 1 or 2 above must be checked. If multiple assignees, complete this form for each assignee. If box 2 is checked, skip the next entry and go directly to "Name of Assignee".</p> <p>The written consent of all assignees and inventors owning an undivided interest in the original patent is included in this application for reissue.</p>		
The assignee(s) owning an undivided interest in said original patent is/are _____ and the assignee(s) consents to the accompanying application for reissue.		
Name of assignee/inventor (if not assigned) <u>Dwight Marcus</u>		
Signature 	Date <u>2.27.02</u>	
Typed or printed name and title of person signing for assignee (if assigned)		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.1 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patent, Washington, DC 20231.

10087003-022602

REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTOR(S)

As below named inventor(s), I/we hereby declare that:

My/Our residence, post office address and citizenship is/are as stated below

I/We believe I/we are the original, first inventor(s) of the subject matter which Patent Number 6,032,156 granted on February 29, 2000, and for which the invention entitled:

For Divisional
based on App.

10/087,003

SYSTEM FOR AUTOMATED GENERATION OF

the specification of which (check one)

- (☒) is attached hereto.
 () was filed by an authorized person on my/our behalf on _____
 as Application No. _____
 and was amended on _____
 (if applicable)

I/We hereby state that I/we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I/We acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

I/We verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below:

- () by reason of a defective specification or drawing.
 (☒) by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than they had the right to claim in the patent.
 () by reason of other errors.

At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening:

This is a broadening reissue application. The error upon which this broadening reissue application is based is that the claims are more narrow than patentee had the right to claim. For example, the claims of the patent do not recite the template language of claim 104, and the scope of claim 4 is different from the scope of claims issued in the patent.

All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant(s). As named inventor(s) I/we hereby appoint Coudert Brothers LLP, a firm including J.D. Harriman II, Reg. No. 31,967 with full power of substitution and revocation, with the power to appoint associate attorneys and to prosecute this application, to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and request that all correspondence and telephone calls in respect to this application be directed to COUDERT BROTHERS LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Suite 2306, Los Angeles, California 90071, Telephone No. (213) 229-2900, Customer No. 23600.

I/We hereby declare that all statements made herein of my/our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, and patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed.

Full name of inventor:

DWIGHT MARCUS

Inventor's signature:

Date:

7.23.23

Residence and Post Office Address:

183 Avenida de la Entrada
Ojai, California 93023
United States of America


Citizenship:

United States

Address for Correspondence:

COUDERT BROTHERS LLP
333 South Hope St., Suite 2300
Los Angeles, California 90071
(213) 229-2900

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REISSUE APPLICATION: CONSENT OF ASSIGNEE; STATEMENT OF NON-ASSIGNMENT		Docket Number (Optional) 0008026-0008
This is part of the application for a reissue patent based on the original patent identified below		
Name of Patentee(s) Dwight Marcus		
Patent Number 6,032,156	Date Patent Issued February 29, 20	
Title of Invention System for Automated Generation of Media		
<p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> Filed herein is a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b). (Form PTO/S9/96)</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ownership of the patent is in the inventor(s), and no assignment of the patent is in effect.</p>		
<p>One of boxes 1 or 2 above must be checked. If multiple assignees, complete this form for each assignee. If box 2 is checked, skip the next entry and go directly to "Name of Assignee".</p> <p>The written consent of all assignees and inventors owning an undivided interest in the original patent is included in this application for reissue.</p>		
The assignee(s) owning an undivided interest in said original patent is/are _____ and the assignee(s) consents to the accompanying application for reissue.		
Name of assignee/inventor (if not assigned) Dwight Marcus		
Signature 	Date 2.27.02	
Typed or printed name and title of person signing for assignee (if assigned)		

For divisional
based on App.
10/087,003

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.1 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

EXHIBIT E



EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EV131067359US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: : Dwight Marcus
:
Application No. : 10/616,602
:
Filing Date : July 10, 2003
:
For : SYSTEM FOR AUTOMATED GENERATION OF MEDIA
:
Examiner : Shahid Al Alam
:
Art Unit : 2162
:
Confirmation No.: : 3611
:
Docket No.: : 67024/NPOWR
:
Date: : July 26, 2006

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

FOR DIVISIONAL REISSUE APPLICATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, Dwight Marcus hereby declare:

I am the original and sole inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed as amended in the application for reissue of U.S. Letters Patent No. 6,032,156 issued February 29, 2000, and entitled SYSTEM FOR AUTOMATED GENERATION OF MEDIA, the specification of which was filed by an authorized person on my behalf on February 28, 2002 as Application No. 10/087,003 and was amended on June 13, 2003, and also the sole inventor of the

subject matter which is described and claimed in this divisional Application No. 10/616,602 filed on July 10, 2003 and amended on July 10, 2003.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the specification, including the claims as amended of the above-identified divisional application for reissue.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

All errors being corrected in this divisional identified application for reissue up to the time of filing of this declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(a) arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.

I believe my original patent to be partly inoperative or invalid because of error without any deceptive intent on the part of the applicant, by reason of the fact that I claimed less than I had a right to claim in the original patent.

The present declaration and amendments filed with the subject divisional reissue application overcome the aforementioned defects of the original patent and correct the claims to provide the scope of protection to which we are entitled.

This is a broadening reissue application. the error upon which this broadening reissue application is based is that the claims are more narrow than patentee had the right to claim, in that, for example, the material of the invention defined by Figure 3 and set forth in claims 104-110 was not previously covered adequately.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001, Title 18 of the United States

Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this reissue application or any patent issued thereon.


I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

GILBERT G. KOVELMAN, Reg. No. 19,552; HOWARD N. SOMMERS, Reg. No. 24,138; JOHN V. HANLEY, Reg. No. 38,171; THOMAS H. MAJCHER, Reg. No. 31,119; DAVID G. PARKHURST, Reg. No. 29,422; and RONALD E. PEREZ, Reg. No. 36,891. Direct all telephone calls to Gilbert G. Kovelman at telephone No. (310) 824-5555.

Address all correspondence to:

FULWIDER PATTON LLP
Howard Hughes Center
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045

Full name of first/sole inventor: DWIGHT MARCUS

Inventor's signature: 

Date: July 25th, 2006

Citizenship: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Residence: Ojai, California

Post Office Address: 183 Avenida de la Entrada
Ojai, California 93023

133855.1